UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,860	01/09/2006	Marco Daher	P70980US0	5320
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			EXAMINER	
			DESAI, HEMANT	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/563,860	DAHER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hemant M. Desai	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap eriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	August 2007.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.
·		
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u> </u>

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolbe et al. (5913764) and Vijuk (5044873) and further in view of Boger et al. (4687137).

Kolbe et al. disclose a base inserter device including folding device (fig. 5), one gluing station (see col. 5, lines 14-15) and one pressing station (see col. 5, lines 19-21).

Kolbe et al., as mentioned above, disclose all the limitations, except for adjustable gluing stations. However, Vijuk teaches adjustable gluing stations and at least one head may be displaced in a direction orthogonal to the feed direction of the sheets to change the adhesive pattern and apply the adhesive at the right place (see fig. 17, col. 10, lines 4-10). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the adjustable glue stations as taught by Vijuk in the base inserter device of Kolbe et al. to change the adhesive pattern and apply the adhesive at the right place.

The modified base insert device of Kolbe et al., as mentioned above, discloses all the claimed limitations, except for the gluing station comprises glue outlet openings, which may be selectively supplied with glue and provided with at least two applications

Application/Control Number: 10/563,860

Art Unit: 3721

heads. However, Boger, et al. discloses that it is well known in the art of applying glue to the substrate to use the gluing station (20, fig. 2) comprises glue outlet openings (92, fig. 2), which may be selectively supplied (each provided with valve 72, 74, 76 etc, fig. 2) with glue and provided with at least two applications heads (60, 38, fig. 2) to dispense controlled and intermittent application of adhesive which provide substantial savings of adhesive (see col. 5, lines 44-59). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the gluer of McDaniel with the gluer of Boger et al. for controlled and intermittent application of glue.

Regarding claim 2, Boger et al. teach that application head is provided with an application plate (20, fig. 2) in which several glue outlet openings (98) are each arranged equidistantly (see fig. 2) on one line in a direction perpendicular to the feed direction of the sheets and/or the folds of the bases, the application plates being configured such that adjoining glue outlet openings (60, 38) of two different application heads are positionable at a different distance than the distance between the glue outlet openings.

Regarding claim 3, Vijuk teaches that the in the gluing station, the two application heads are displaceably supported on a common guide rail (173, fig. 17).

Regarding claim 4, Vijuk teaches that at least one drive (176) for providing the force for moving at least one displaceable application head.

Regarding claim 5, Vijuk teaches that the drive can be driven using a motor.

Regarding claims 6-8, Vijuk teaches means (controller, fig. 41) for automatically displacing the application head and a control unit that controls the displacement.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,860

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 9, Vijuk teaches that all the application heads of the gluing station can be supplied with glue from one common glue supplying line, which guides the glue directly towards the application heads.

Regarding claims 10-11, Vijuk teaches that the glue supplying line runs essentially in a direction orthogonal to the feed direction of the sheets.

Regarding claim 12, Kolbe et al. disclose guide elements, which guide the bag components to be glued in the region of the gluing station.

Regarding claim 13, Vijuk teaches that one application head remains stationary.

Regarding claim 14, Vijuk teaches that the format is defined by three application heads (see fig. 16) of which the middle one can remains stationary during the adjustment of the format.

Regarding claims 15-17, the modified base insert device of Kolbe et al., as mentioned above, meets all the claimed limitations of claims 15-17.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM-5:00 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Comount M, Just Hemant M Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

/hd/